

Result of voting

Ballot Information

Ballot reference	17n4365 Proposed NP Amd Alternating PICC and PCD support
Ballot type	NWIP
Ballot title	Proposed NP Identification cards - Contactless integrated circuit cards - Proximity cards - Part 3: Initialization and anticollision AMENDMENT: Alternating PICC and PCD support
Opening date	2011-10-21
Closing date	2012-01-21
Note	

Member responses:

Votes cast (29)	Armenia (SARM) Australia (SA) Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malaysia (DSM) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (1)	Ukraine (DSSU)
Votes not cast (5)	Canada (SCC) Luxembourg (ILNAS)

Korea, Republic of (KATS)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Malaysia (DSM)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Exter Time
Netherlands (NEN)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Norway (SN)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Poland (PKN)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Russian Federation (GOST R)	Abstain	Abstain	No	No	No	Abstain	Defa Time
Singapore (SPRING SG)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Slovenia (SIST)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
South Africa (SABS)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abstain	Abstain	Yes	Defa Time
Spain (AENOR)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Exter Time
Sweden (SIS)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Defa Time
Switzerland (SNV)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
United Kingdom (BSI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
USA (ANSI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time

Answers to Q.1: "Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"

17 x	Yes	Australia (SA) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
4 x	No	Belgium (NBN) Finland (SFS) Japan (JISC) Switzerland (SNV)

8 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Russian Federation (GOST R) Sweden (SIS)
------------	----------------	---

Answers to Q.2: "Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"

18 x	Yes	Australia (SA) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
3 x	No	Belgium (NBN) Finland (SFS) Switzerland (SNV)
8 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Russian Federation (GOST R) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.3: "Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"

9 x	Yes	China (SAC) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Slovenia (SIST) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
13 x	No	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Czech Republic (UNMZ)

		Finland (SFS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV)
7 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.4: "Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project?"

0 x	Yes	
20 x	No	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
9 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) India (BIS) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.5: "Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?"

0 x	Yes	
20 x	No	Australia (SA)

		Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
9 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) India (BIS) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.6: "Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?"		
2 x	Yes	Japan (JISC) South Africa (SABS)
18 x	No	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
9 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) India (BIS) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM)

Russian Federation (GOST R) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.7: "Which standard development track is proposed?"		
27 x	Default Timeframe	Armenia (SARM) Australia (SA) Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Slovenia (SIST) South Africa (SABS) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
0 x	Accelerated Timeframe	
2 x	Extended Timeframe	Malaysia (DSM) Spain (AENOR)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Belgium (NBN)	Comment	2012-01-20 11:28:39
see commenting template		
Belgium (NBN)	Comment File	2012-01-20 11:28:39
CommentFiles/17n4365_Proposed_NP_Amd_Alternating_PICC_and_PCD_support_NBN.doc		
Finland (SFS)	Comment	2011-12-27 11:47:08
The emerging NFC market is in sensitive state and doesn't need competition of standards. ISO/IEC DIS 21481 passed voting on 2011-12-16 and it essentially covers the proposed technology. No alternatives needed.		
Japan (JISC)	Comment	2011-12-27

		05:27:44
JNB's Comments on JTC1/SC17 N 4365		
<p>Japanese National Body (hereinafter "JNB") is of opinion that this new proposal is insufficiently defined because of the following issues, while recognizing the needs for functional addition.</p> <p>JNB requests to resolve them at the earliest opportunity of addressing the ballot results on this NP.</p> <p><u>1. Inclusion of incompatible aspect with the title</u></p> <p>Basically, there is an incompatibility between the title of this NP and its scope. JNB wonders what the definition of "Alternating PICC and PCD Support" is.</p> <p>The scope of this NP mentions "metallic environments", which JNB cannot see the relation with the title "Alternating PICC and PCD Support". As a metallic environment does not have any impacts directly to the alternation of PICC and PCD support, JNB thinks it should be out of this NP.</p> <p>Moreover, the value of external operating field detection should be specified in ISO/IEC14443 Part 2.</p> <p>Prior to the discussion on the individual technology, it is necessary to shrink the scope of "Alternating PICC and PCD Support" and identify the technology items to be standardized.</p> <p><u>2. Possibility of overlapping scope</u></p> <p>According to JTC1/SC17 N 4365, the column of "Co-operation and liaison" is blank. Taking into account the harmonization activities between ISO/IEC 14443 and NFC, collaboration with SC6 is necessary.</p> <p>The scope of this NP has strong relation with the conventional international standards, for example,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Detecting an external field specified in ISO/IEC 21481:2005. - Selecting PCD/PICC MODE specified in ISO/IEC 21481(Revised version). <p>Therefore, JNB does not support the idea, if it may cause the duplication of the scope.</p> <p>This NP should be deliberated in collaboration with SC6, instead of SC17 alone.</p> <p>END</p>		
Slovenia (SIST)	Comment	2011-12-05 15:33:45
Mr. Maksimiljan Stiglic		
Switzerland (SNV)	Comment	2012-01-12 09:25:47
see comment file		
Switzerland (SNV)	Comment File	2012-01-12 09:25:47
CommentFiles/17n4365_Proposed_NP_Amd_Alternating_PICC_and_PCD_support_SNV.doc		
USA (ANSI)	Comment	2012-01-20 16:11:40
A CONCERN EXISTS ABOUT POTENTIAL CONFLICT WITH ISO/IEC 21481:2011		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Ukraine (DSSU)	<i>Comment</i>	2012-01-18 13:47:55
abstention		