

**Telecommunications and Information Exchange Between Systems**

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6**

<b>Document Number:</b>	N13532
<b>Date:</b>	2008-03-13
<b>Replaces:</b>	
<b>Document Type:</b>	Outgoing Liaison Statement
<b>Document Title:</b>	SC 6 Chair's Response to Liaison statement from JTC 1 / SC 17 to JTC 1 / SC 6 on Harmonization activities for the standards ISO/IEC 18092, 21481, 22536, 23917 and ISO/IEC 14443, 10373-6 (6N13499)
<b>Document Source:</b>	JTC 1/SC 6 Chairman
<b>Project Number:</b>	
<b>Document Status:</b>	For your information
<b>Action ID:</b>	FYI
<b>Due Date:</b>	
<b>No. of Pages:</b>	2

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 Secretariat Ms. Jooran Lee, KSA (on behalf of KATS)

Korea Technology Center #701-7 Yeoksam-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-513, Republic of Korea ;

Telephone: +82 2 6009 4808 ; Facsimile: +82 2 6009 4819 ; Email : [jooran@kisi.or.kr](mailto:jooran@kisi.or.kr)

Dear JTC 1/SC17 Chair and SC17/WG8 Convener,

Thank you for your patience in waiting for our response.

We have received two NB responses basically supporting for joint efforts for harmonization of the two conflicting standards suites. However, one of major active NB has submitted a serious objection to rushing into the proposed joint meeting without due process in accordance with the JTC1 Directives, which opinion carries firm grounds to be respected;

- SC6 members have not been involved in organizing this joint meeting;
- The meeting notice is too late violating the rule for a minimum of 2 months advance time;
- NBs are not given due time to study this case, set up NB positions, and decide whether to attend and whom to send, etc.

Therefore, I regret that I have to notify that the proposed joint meeting is not authorized at this moment. However, your previous Liaison Statement in 6N13499 will be taken as an agenda item for discussion in the coming SC6 Plenary and WG meetings scheduled April 6-11 in Geneva. Elaboration of the Liaison Statement at the meeting by interested NBs or even better by a SC17 liaison representative, if schedule permits it, would help a lot for SC6 members to fully absorb the context. I'd be sure we'll then be able to find which should be the best way to accomplish SC17's wish in good harmony with the due Directives procedure.

Thank you.

Best regards,

Dae Young KIM  
Chairman, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6