

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 17
Cards and personal identification
Secretariat: BSI (United Kingdom)

Document type: Summary of Voting On-line

Title: Ballot Result: NP PICCs with external power supply

Status:

81% of eligible members have voted on this ballot.

There were 19 votes in support of the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee and 1 against. (Qu.2)

There were 7 National Bodies (minimum 5 P Members required), willing to commit to participate in the development of the new work item. (Qu.3)

The NP has been confirmed by JTC1 ballot.

The new work item has passed and will be added to the SC17 program of work.

Date of document: 2011-02-22

Expected action: INFO

No. of pages: 8

Email of secretary: chris.starr@ukpayments.org.uk

Committee URL: <http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/open/jtc1sc17>

Result of voting

Ballot Information

Ballot reference	NP PICCs with external power supply 17n4089
Ballot type	NWIP
Ballot title	Notification of ballot: PICCs with external power supply
Opening date	2010-11-20
Closing date	2011-02-20
Note	

Member responses:

Votes cast (27)	Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (1)	Ukraine (DSSU)
Votes not cast (6)	Armenia (SARM) Australia (SA) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malaysia (DSM) Romania (ASRO) Slovakia (SUTN)

Questions:

Q.1	"Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"
Q.2	"Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"
Q.3	"Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"
Q.4	"Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project?"
Q.5	"Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?"
Q.6	"Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?"
Q.7	"Which standard development track is proposed?"

Votes by members	Q.1	Q.2	Q.3	Q.4	Q.5	Q.6	Q.7
Austria (ASI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
Belgium (NBN)	Yes	Yes	Abstain	No	No	Abstain	Defa Time
Canada (SCC)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Defa Time
China (SAC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
Czech Republic (UNMZ)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Denmark (DS)	Yes	Yes	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Defa Time
Finland (SFS)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
France (AFNOR)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
Germany (DIN)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Defa Time
India (BIS)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Defa Time
Israel (SII)	Abstain	Abstain	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Italy (UNI)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Japan (JISC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
Kenya (KEBS)	Yes	Yes	Abstain	No	No	No	Defa Time
Korea, Republic of (KATS)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Exter Time
Netherlands (NEN)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Norway (SN)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Exter Time
Poland (PKN)	Yes	Yes	Abstain	No	No	No	Defa Time

Portugal (IPQ)	Abstain	Abstain	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
Russian Federation (GOST R)	Yes	Yes	Abstain	No	No	No	Defa Time
Singapore (SPRING SG)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Defa Time
South Africa (SABS)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Exter Time
Spain (AENOR)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Defa Time
Sweden (SIS)	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Defa Time
Switzerland (SNV)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Exter Time
United Kingdom (BSI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time
USA (ANSI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defa Time

Answers to Q.1: "Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"

18 x	Yes	Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
2 x	No	Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR)
7 x	Abstain	Canada (SCC) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Portugal (IPQ) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.2: "Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"

19 x	Yes	Austria (ASI)
-------------	------------	----------------------

		Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
1 x	No	Finland (SFS)
7 x	Abstain	Canada (SCC) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Portugal (IPQ) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.3: "Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"

7 x	Yes	Austria (ASI) China (SAC) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
10 x	No	Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Portugal (IPQ) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV)
10 x	Abstain	Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) Kenya (KEBS) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.4: "Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project?"		
1 x	Yes	Germany (DIN)
20 x	No	Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
6 x	Abstain	Canada (SCC) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.5: "Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?"		
0 x	Yes	
21 x	No	Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)

6 x	Abstain	Canada (SCC) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)
------------	----------------	---

Answers to Q.6: "Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?"

1 x	Yes	Germany (DIN)
19 x	No	Austria (ASI) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
7 x	Abstain	Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.7: "Which standard development track is proposed?"

23 x	Default Timeframe	Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kenya (KEBS) Netherlands (NEN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R)
-------------	--------------------------	--

		Singapore (SPRING SG) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
0 x	Accelerated Timeframe	
4 x	Extended Timeframe	Korea, Republic of (KATS) Norway (SN) South Africa (SABS) Switzerland (SNV)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Austria (ASI)	Comment	2011-02-15 08:49:03
Erich Reisenhofer, Infineon Graz, Erich.Reisenhofer@infineon.com Erich Reisenhofer, Infineon Graz, Erich.Reisenhofer@infineon.com		
Finland (SFS)	Comment	2010-12-09 12:37:55
There is not enough to standardize regarding to PICC should they have to be compliant to present readers. Moreover, we do not see it important to standardize certain active antenna types.		
France (AFNOR)	Comment	2011-02-15 16:47:26
France is sceptical on the possibility to guarantee through a reference metallic environment the conformity of other metallic environments, i.e. less perturbations of the PCD-PICC communications in both directions. France proposes therefore that this NP only standardizes the possibility for PICCs to use a power supply (external and embedded in the PICC) without the reference to metal environment. Yes if this NP only standardizes the possibility for PICCs to use a power supply (external and embedded in the PICC) without the reference to metal environment. Yes if this NP only standardizes the possibility for PICCs to use a power supply (external and embedded in the PICC) without the reference to metal environment.		
Germany (DIN)	Comment	2011-02-09 14:39:01
Klaus Finkenzeller, Giesecke & Devrient		
United Kingdom (BSI)	Comment	2011-02-21 10:47:56
UK Expert will be provided		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:

Ukraine (DSSU)	<i>Comment</i>	2011-02-11 11:34:03
Abstain		